

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/753,089	01/07/2004	Caidian Luo	129843.1080	5413	
60148 GARDERE / I	7590 01/29/2007 AMES HARDIE		EXAMINER		
GARDERE WYNNE SEWELL, LLP			MARCANTONI, PAUL D		
1601 ELM ST SUITE 3000	REET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DALLAS, TX	75201	•	1755		
SHORTENED STATUTO	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
31.1	DAYS	01/29/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

•						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/753,089	LUO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Paul Marcantoni	1755				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a repiral apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this co				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/22	2/06 (RCE).					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the	merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1,3-11 and 25-27 is/are pending in the	e application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1,3-11 and 25-27</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	•	` ,			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		Inlication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	•	Stage			
application from the International Bureau	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Su Paper No(s)	ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Inf	ormal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	_ •				

Art Unit: 1755

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

 Claims 1, 3-11, drawn to composite of bleached and unblended cellulose fibers, classified in class 428, subclass 393.

II. Claims 25-27, drawn to a mixture of premium grade cellulose fibers and standard grade cellulose fibers (includes <u>non-bleached</u> mixture of these fibers), classified in class 106, subclass 731.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are directed to related products. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed can have materially different design, mode of operation, function, and effect. Group I is directed to a mixture of bleached fibers and unbleached fibers and can be entirely premium grade or entirely standard grade; Group II requires only that a mixture of premium grade cellulose fibers and standard grade fibers be used and need not be bleached. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/753,089

Art Unit: 1755

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions *or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case.* In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Note: Applicants may have both groups examined together and need only state that Groups I and II are obvious variants in their next response.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Marcantoni whose telephone number is 571-272-1373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/753,089

Art Unit: 1755

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul Marcantoni Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755 Page 4